

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROXANNA MARIA FRAGASSI,

Plaintiff,

v.

KCAC GALE MCMAHON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case Nos. 1:15-cv-01543-CL  
1:15-cv-01554-CL

ORDER

---

MICHAEL LEE RAWSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

KCAC GALE MCMAHON, et al.,

Defendants.

---

Aiken, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Clark issued Findings and Recommendation in the above-captioned cases, recommending that the defendants' motions for summary judgment be granted and these consolidated cases dismissed. The matter is now before me. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings


and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Plaintiff filed objections to the Findings and Recommendation. Upon de novo review, I find no error with Magistrate Judge Clarke's thorough analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Clarke's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 38) is ADOPTED in its entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (doc. 34) is GRANTED. This action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2016.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ann Aiken", is written over a horizontal line.

Ann Aiken  
United States District Judge